

Feasibility Study on the Establishment of Uniform International Rules: Forms, Necessities, and Challenges

Ali. Jalilian¹, Dariush. Shahriari^{2*}, Hamid. Azizi Moradpour³

¹ PhD student, Department of International Law, Kish International Branch, Islamic Azad University, Kish Island, Iran

² Assistant Professor, Department of International Law, Bandar Abbas Branch, Islamic Azad University, Bandar Abbas, Iran

³ Assistant Professor, Department of International Law, South Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

* Corresponding author email address: shahriari137@gmail.com

Received: 2025-02-20

Revised: 2025-04-14

Accepted: 2025-04-20

Published: 2025-04-26

EDITOR:

Cavid Qasimov

Prof, Faculty of Letters Department of History, Van Yuzuncu Yıl University, Van, Turkiy. Email: cavidqasimov@yyu.edu.tr

REVIEWER 1:

Mehmet Çevik

Department of Social Sciences, Ankara University, Türkiye. Email: mehmetÇevik@asbu.edu.tr

REVIEWER 2:

Nabeel Bani-Hani

Faculty of Education Specialization, Wasit University, Wasit, Iraq. Email: nabeelhani@uowasit.edu.iq

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

In the introduction paragraph, the phrase “laws were confined within national borders” oversimplifies historical legal pluralism. Consider referencing colonial legal exportation and transnational commercial codes as early forms of legal diffusion.

In referencing Article 38 of the ICJ Statute, you correctly mention sources of international law. However, the integration of this source with treaty law would benefit from contextualization with recent ICJ jurisprudence (e.g., Jurisdictional Immunities Case, 2012).

The phrase “Treaties with extraterritorial application” requires refinement. Please clarify whether this refers to erga omnes obligations, universal jurisdiction, or widespread adoption through customary norms.

The statement “All international organizations... require legislative authority...” is too categorical. Some organizations are consultative or technical without legislative output (e.g., ILO, WHO). Consider specifying scope and variation.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

In the section "Forms of Establishing a Uniform Legal System", the distinction between "Minimal State" and "Collective Rationality" theories is introduced, but lacks scholarly sourcing or elaboration. Please provide foundational authors or conceptual debates that support this dichotomy.

The claim that "The most common and significant forms... include the conclusion of various international treaties..." should be accompanied by comparative legal evidence or case studies of key conventions (e.g., CISG, UNCITRAL).

The quote from Montesquieu lacks analysis. Please situate this in the broader Enlightenment view of plural legal systems or national sovereignty, rather than treating it as a standalone endorsement.

The article touches on acquired rights but fails to discuss debates around vested rights doctrine and its limits in transnational jurisprudence. This could enhance the theoretical rigor.

The reference to the 1926 PCIJ judgment on acquired rights is historically accurate, but it needs a citation with case name (e.g., *Chorzów Factory*) and a brief legal implication.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

2. Revised

Editor's decision: Accepted.

Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.